

From: Michael Horn <michael@theyfly.com>
Date: January 24, 2008 8:43:38 AM PST
To: JOHN LEAR
Cc: derek@iigwest.com
Subject: Ritzmann, Biedney & Bartholomaus

NOTE: This may be posted on the forum where this matter is still being discussed. As I have been banned from this forum, for which I am actually grateful, I don't have access to posting it myself.

MH

To Whom It May Concern:

It seems that some folks are still having a bit of trouble with telling the truth, to say nothing of the reality of the Meier case. So, to at least attempt to set the record straight for those who aren't irretrievably eyeball-deep in denial, here is a bit of information that should sufficiently clarify the matter and reveal just who the real deceivers and "hoaxers" are.

Mr. Ritzmann has downplayed his role as a miniature tree cultivator, since admitting to it, while being completely unable to back up his claims that Meier used such trees in his photos, is not going to wear very well with those whom he has attempted to deceive.

So here it is in his own words (emphasis added):

Date: Tue, 12 Dec 2006 14:28:02 -0500
From: "Jeff Ritzmann" <jeff.ritzmann@gmail.com>
To: "michael@theyfly.com" <michael@theyfly.com>
Subject: Re: Thanks regarding Kevin Smith show

<<...In light of what Michael has posted here, my response to Robyn:>>

"Further, he tells us that we're looking at Bonsai trees. Well, it also appears that he knows nothing about Bonsai trees but, hey, when you're boat's sinking you'll reach for anything."

<<**Actually, I've been raising them for 14 years.** Pine needles aren't an issue, as I have one in particular that does have miniaturized needles due to significant root ball pruning. The tree in the wedding cake shot, as I said looks to be around 3ft give or take. The grass is the dead giveaway to it's size, and there's no argument for that. >>

.....
"No argument" eh? Well, with **14 years** of raising such trees, coupled with his

model making and photographic skills, hey, he should at least be able to duplicate a few photos like these to end the argument, doncha think?

So, while Mr. Ritzmann is long on excuses and short on results - hey, where's the WCUFO photos and video he promised? - Meier simply cranked out the hundreds and hundreds of clear, daytime UFO photos...with plenty of them next to trees. And without excuses.

You'll also noticed that the inept skeptics, represented by Derek Bartholomaus of IIG, to whom we gave ample time in The Silent Revolution of Truth to prove his case against Meier, have likewise failed to back up their "similar trees equal the same, therefore, model tree" theory. Nowhere in his scripted, 25-minute presentation (which we edited down) did he produce a photo, such as Meier's above, nor did he show a miniature tree such as he claimed Meier used, etc. And the guy actually wanted *more* screen time!

Really, is there anybody left who thinks these guys have any credibility?

And speaking of not having any credibility, it seems that Mr. Beidney is still carrying on about "proving", through his expertise with PhotoShop, that Meier hoaxed a UFO photograph. It's funny that out of all of Meier's photos, Mr. Biedney could only make that claim about one - and he still got it wrong. The problem with Mr. B's "proof" is that when another PhotoShop expert really called it into question "Based on my own analysis of the photograph in question, I do not see any clear evidence of a line near the top of the photograph..." (see: <http://theparacast.com/forums/michael-horn-the-billy-meier-contacts-t-859-42.html>) Mr. B sheepishly back-peddled with this uncharacteristically deferential comment:

"Dx27, that's very interesting, but at this point, I will need to see the original film negative/positive for this image to follow up in a reasonable fashion. I have problems with many of the issues in this image.

I would be happy to analyze a high-resolution scan from the original film and follow up on your findings."

.....

"Very interesting" indeed. But one has to ask just why Mr. B couldn't have behaved in a "reasonable fashion" to begin with. And, when further challenged

by other professionals to simply duplicate the "deliberate, out of camera, double exposure" photo that he said was made "with a light fixture...against a black curtain" Mr. B characteristically spews venom but avoids the real issue, i.e. his complete and utter inability to do so. So much for his "proof".

BTW, if you think that interacting with Mr. B, aka the Pillsbury Doughboy on Steroids, is the same as dealing with any logical - honest - individual, here's an excerpt from <http://theparacast.com/forums/michael-horn-the-billy-meier-contacts-t-859-4.html> that shows how easily Mr. B attempts to defame and discredit other good, and extremely well qualified, people:

"Look at this one statement of yours:

'As far as Marcel Vogel and his credentials are concerned, let's remember that Vogel was a REAL scientist (22 years with IBM), and demonstrated his understanding of the scientific method when he wrote...'

You claimed that this man holds 32 patents. He does not, this is a known fact, not opinion. ANYONE can verify this. You are trying to swerve around the fact that you have been less than honest in this statement. You have not addressed this factual misrepresentation. Why?

I directly challenge you to ANSWER THIS ONE QUESTION.

It's not like I'm trying to 'attack his credibility', the man might have been an absolute genius, excellent parent, killer golfer, good cook, but he does NOT hold 32 patents. You claimed this as fact, I challenge it.

Please explain THIS ONE POINT. You accuse me of so many things, of not addressing relevant points, I DARE you to provide an explanation of the patent issue and your statements about it - you always parade the 32 patents. He doesn't have them. Your statement about this fact would be good right about now.

Your credibility, on this forum, rests on your response."

.....

Wow, a "DARE" to explain that "ONE POINT"!

Well, that isn't at all hard to do. A brief visit to <http://www.theyfly.com/PDF/Documentation%20from%20IBM%20on.pdf> demolishes Mr. B and his rant against Marcel Vogel's stellar scientific credentials and accomplishments.

And it should be a final note on which to close the door on these incompetent, and less than honest, individuals for whom the reality of the Meier case is simply too much to bear.

Michael Horn
Authorized American Media
Representative
The Billy Meier Contacts
<http://www.theyfly.com>
Producer/Writer
The Silent Revolution of Truth